



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,685	09/19/2005	Eva Caroff	66535.000004	7434
21967	7590	02/17/2010		
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			EXAMINER	
			PIHONAK, SARAH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/549,685	CAROFF ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SARAH PIHONAK	<b>Art Unit</b> 1627	
<b>All Participants:</b>		<b>Status of Application:</b> <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) SARAH PIHONAK.		(3) _____.	
(2) JEFF VOCKRODT.		(4) _____.	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>4 February 2010</u>		<b>Time:</b> _____	
<b>Type of Interview:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description: .			
<b>Part I.</b>			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>NONE</i>			
Claims discussed: 11-16, 22-33			
Prior art documents discussed: <i>NONE</i>			
<b>Part II.</b>			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>SEE CONTINUATION</i>			
<b>Part III.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Applicant's attorney, Jeff Vockrodt, was contacted regarding the Rule 1.312 amendment submitted on 1/8/2010. It was requested that a supplemental amendment be submitted, regarding claim 32, with the removal of the terms: "or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof". On 2/4/2010, a supplemental amendment was submitted with the proposed change. Claims 11-16 and 22-33 are allowed.